

THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD THERE REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING ON TUESDAY, AUGUST 15TH, 2006, AT 1:30 P.M., IN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING ROOM IN THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE.

THERE WERE PRESENT: Wayne Angell, Chairman
 Charles Wagner, Vice-Chairman
 Leland Mitchell
 David Hurt
 Charles Poindexter
 Russ Johnson
 Hubert Quinn

OTHERS PRESENT: Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator
 Christopher L. Whitlow, Asst. County Administrator
 B. J. Jefferson, County Attorney
 Sharon K. Tudor, CMC, Clerk

Chairman Wayne Angell called the meeting to order.

Invocation was given by Supervisor Charles Wagner.

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Supervisor Leland Mitchell.

SMITH MOUNTAIN HOLDINGS, LLC REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE
Sharon Heaton, Member Manager, Smith Mountain Holdings, LLC, requested Board authorization for Smith Mountain Holdings, LLC to table their June 5, 2006 Rezoning Application and Applications for Special Use Permits for the Board of Supervisors’ hearings scheduled in August to be held in October 2006. Per discussions with members of the staff, we would like to request such tabling at the August 15th Board of Supervisors meeting until the October 24th Board meeting.

(RESOLUTION #01-08-2006)
BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to table the scheduled Penhook Cove public hearing until the October 24th meeting and the have the new concept plan for Penhook Village to be heard by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors during the September meeting dates.
MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter
SECONDED BY: Leland Mitchell
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

CONSENT AGENDA
APPROVAL OF ACCOUNTS PAYABLE LISTING, APPROPRIATIONS, TRANSFERS & MINUTES FOR – July 18th & 25th, 2006

<u>Department</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Account</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Debt Service Fund	Rollovers and Carryforwards		870,976.00
Utility Fund	Rollovers and Carryforwards		481,712.00
Reassessment Reserve	Rollovers and Carryforwards		246,703.00
Economic Development	Rollovers and Carryforwards		221,713.00
Tourism	Rollovers and Carryforwards		103,196.00
Parks and Recreation	Rollovers and Carryforwards		89,000.00
E911	Rollovers and Carryforwards		88,442.00
Planning and Zoning	Rollovers and Carryforwards		77,612.00
Asset Forfeiture Fund	Rollovers and Carryforwards		74,791.00
General Properties	Rollovers and Carryforwards		60,741.00
Corrections	Rollovers and Carryforwards		58,471.00
Human Resources	Rollovers and Carryforwards		58,000.00
Workforce	Rollovers and Carryforwards		48,140.00
Family Resources	Rollovers and Carryforwards		47,086.00
Commissioner of Revenue	Rollovers and Carryforwards		37,578.00
Commonwealth Attorney	Rollovers and Carryforwards		33,010.00

Sheriff	Rollovers and Carryforwards		31,600.00
Domestic Violence	Rollovers and Carryforwards		24,022.00
GIS	Rollovers and Carryforwards		15,705.00
Information Technology	Rollovers and Carryforwards		15,492.00
Public Works	Rollovers and Carryforwards		15,000.00
Courthouse Maint Fund	Rollovers and Carryforwards		13,750.00
Law Library Fund	Rollovers and Carryforwards		9,765.00
Clerk of Court	Rollovers and Carryforwards		9,000.00
External Agency Contributions	Rollovers and Carryforwards		6,999.00
Circuit Court	Rollovers and Carryforwards		6,001.00
Registrar	Rollovers and Carryforwards		4,447.00
Solid Waste/Landfill	Rollovers and Carryforwards		3,962.00
Finance	Rollovers and Carryforwards		3,795.00
County Administrator	Rollovers and Carryforwards		2,900.00
Total			2,759,609.00

Other Appropriations None

Transfers Between Departments

J and D Court	To move local maintenance of	2105- 3000	(10,124.00)
Juvenile Court Services	effort funds to court service budget	2109- 3000	10,124.00
to account for all VJCCCA expenditures in one budget.			

WILLOWS SUBDIVISION WATER REQUEST

- 1.** The Willows Subdivision is approximately 1,833 feet from the Town boundary.
- 2.** The proposed subdivision would require an extension of about 600 feet of 12” water main to reach it.
- 3.** The total extension of water main within this subdivision would be approximately 5,100 feet of 8” water main.
- 4.** The 1995 Comprehensive Plan identifies this parcel as Agricultural & Forestry/Rural Residential.
- 5.** The 1995 Comprehensive Plan says “Rural residential lots, typically one acre or more in size, will support farm homes, individual single family dwellings, or free standing manufactured homes.”
- 6.** Section 25-180 of the Franklin County Code states lots in the A-1 district will have a minimum of 35,000 square feet.
- 7.** The Willows Subdivision proposed plan states the minimum lot size will be 35,000 square feet.
- 8.** The 1995 Comprehensive Plan says “The County is the responsible agency for utility planning, construction, and operation beyond those utility connections now in place by other providers. The County looks forward to cooperation and mutual benefit in the development of interjurisdictional agreements with its regional neighbors for facilities, bulk source water, and wastewater treatment services.”

Among the options the Board may wish to consider are the following:

- 1) An agreement for bulk water purchase by the County from the Town including the potential installation of a master meter where the current 12” water main currently ends, and connection of the subdivision according to the County’s adopted policies, or
- 2) Approval of the extension by the Town with the condition that the subdivision be connected to County water upon its availability, or
- 3) Approval of utilities for the subdivision featuring on-site water wells or a community system developed according to Chapter 22, absent a Town water line extension.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that since the County Comprehensive Plan indicates that utility extensions be done by agreement between the Town and County if Town source is to be used, further line extensions into the County by the Town should be done by way of a master agreement. Until such time as an agreement is in place, no further line extensions should be granted.

CONTACT PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, CONTACT of the County of Franklin is a United Way funded non-profit agency serving Martinsville, Henry, Patrick and Franklin Counties; and

WHEREAS, CONTACT offers a telephone crisis line that is staffed 24 hours a day, 365 days a year by volunteers who have gone through intensive training and receive continuing education; and

WHEREAS, CONTACT also provides a reassurance line in which volunteers make daily calls to area elderly and shut-ins who have no one else to check on them; and

WHEREAS, CONTACT of County of Franklin is affiliated with CONTACT USA and Lifeline International and must abide by a stringent accreditation policy to retain its affiliation with those organizations; and

WHEREAS, CONTACT of County of Franklin was chartered in 1972 and has offered 34 years of continuous service to the communities it serves; now, therefore,

We, the Franklin County Board of Supervisor, Franklin County, Virginia, do hereby proclaim and declare, **August 27, 2006** as

CONTACT SUNDAY

in the County of Franklin, hereby recognizing the contributions CONTACT has made to the communities of Martinsville, Henry, Patrick and Franklin Counties, and urging citizens to support the work of our local CONTACT organization.

FRANKLIN COUNTY WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT CAREER ACADEMY GRANT APPLICATION

Nationally, there is a growing demand to fill positions in the health care field, including nurses, radiologists, pharmacy technicians, and other Allied Health/Biomedical professionals. Regionally, all allied health professionals can secure employment in area hospitals, doctors' offices and long term care facilities. In addition to such allied health professionals, the County is experiencing increased demand for EMT/Fire/Rescue Certifications. Locally, there is also significant job growth in the following sectors: Construction Trades, Information Technology, and Business Leadership.. Along with these rapid industry expansions, consumer expectations for quality and the demand for work-ready employees have created a niche for labor skill development and employment opportunities for local citizens. A skilled workforce will play a critical role in supporting the successes and continued expansions in these areas as noted above. The proposed education and training initiative will target County citizens who desire to increase their standard of living and employability through retraining scholarships supported by the Tobacco Commission and offered through the Workforce Consortium.

The Franklin County Workforce Development Consortium-Virginia Workforce Center proposes to make application to the Virginia Tobacco Indemnification and Revitalization Commission to support approximately 200 scholarships for a cooperative training project that involves two components described as follows:

- 1) Developmental & Prerequisite Classes: Pre-GED and GED Math, Basic Computers, Writing Skills, Algebra, and Biology offered by the Franklin County Public Schools Adult Education Program. (Appox. 100 Scholarships – Estimated \$500 Per Student / Class)
- 2) Allied Health Programs - Access to the Allied Health scholarships is based upon acceptance to an appropriate program at Virginia Western Community College or Patrick Henry Community College. These programs will include, but not be limited to, Nursing, Radiology, Dental Hygienist, Pharmacy Technology, Biotechnology, Medical Coding, Surgical Technology, and Dental Technology. (Approx .100 Scholarships – Estimated \$2,400 Per Student / Program). Each scholarship will provide students with tuition, books and miscellaneous expenses for their chosen program of studies.

The total requested amount from the Tobacco Commission will be \$680,943 with the Workforce Consortium Partners of FCPS, PHCC, and VWCC committing a local match of \$63,500.00. (See attached spreadsheet)

RECOMMENDATION:

The Franklin County Workforce Development Consortium-Virginia Workforce Center respectfully requests approval from the Franklin County Board of Supervisors to make application and request funds in the amount of \$680,943 from the Virginia Tobacco Indemnification and Community Revitalization Commission to support the training initiatives in adult education (literacy, Pre-GED, GED), allied health, construction trades, Information Technology, Business Leadership, and EMT/Fire/Rescue that will provide for both instruction and equipment.

Item	Number of Units	Price Per Unit	Sub Total Price	Total Price
Office Software	24	\$100.00		\$2,400.00
Wireless Classroom Basic Package				\$24,999.99
Mimio Interactive (whiteboards)	15	\$649.00		\$9,735.00
Training Equipment (SimMan) & (SimBaby)				\$87,343.70
Educational Materials (Critical Thinking Guide)	1	\$33.00		\$33.00
Educational Materials (Critical Thinking Instructors Manual	1	\$20.00		\$20.00
Laerdal Competence Management System /Annual License	400	\$10.50		\$4,200.00
Laerdal Competence Management System/Capital Purchase 3 yrs	50	\$21.00	\$1,050.00	\$8,400.00
2 classes (12 weeks each)				\$3,000.00
Workplace Classes				\$1,200.00
			\$	
Receive Incentive	250	\$500.00	125,000.00	\$125,000.00
Materials for ABE				\$3,986.13
ABE Workbooks				\$295.94
Software for Pre-GED				\$31,533.26
Hood for lab	1	\$21,000.00	\$21,000.00	\$21,000.00
VWCC Tuition (based on12 students taking 18 credit hours	18	\$77.24	\$16,684.00	\$16,684.00
VWCC Books (\$100.00 per book)	12	\$100.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
VWCC Certification Testing	12	\$350.00	\$4,200.00	\$4,200.00
VWCC Welding Supplies (recommended to appropriate \$2,000.00 for each credit hour of welding instruction for supplies)			\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
VWCC welders	4	\$2,522.19	\$10,088.76	\$10,088.76
VWCC plasma cutting system	1	\$1,873.54	\$1,873.54	\$1,873.54
VWCC start up materials (helmets, gloves & other safety equipment				\$447.58
Software Practice GED test				\$22,663.63
PHCC/Construction Trades Academy	30	\$2,142.00	\$64,260.00	\$64,260.00
PHCC/IT Academy	25	\$2,142.00	\$53,550.00	\$53,550.00
PHCC/Leadership Academy	24	\$2,400.00	\$57,600.00	\$57,600.00
ADA Furniture				\$5,000.00
Network Switch				\$30,000.00
				\$29,728.00
Marketing (Billboards, newspaper ads, banners, plasma screens (2)				\$40,500.00
Grand Total:				\$680,942.53

TOM’S KNOB TOWER & SITE LEASE

This tower request is part of the overall project to improve Public Safety communications throughout the County. Secondary purposes for this project are provide network connectivity to the Snow Creek Fire and Rescue buildings and high speed internet access to the citizens of the Snow Creek District. The Franklin County Planning Commission voted unanimously at their April 11, 2006 meeting that this tower conformed to the County’s Comprehensive Plan. At the request of staff, the Board of Supervisors tabled action on the Comprehensive Plan review for the placement of the 140 foot free standing lattice tower at the regular May Board of Supervisors meeting. Staff felt we needed more time to work on easements to access the site and on specifics of the site lease agreement

Staff has worked with all adjacent property owners and has determined the best possible routes for access to the site. Mr. Raymond Wingfield and his sons from Martinsville have offered to provide access across their property with entrance either from Muddy Fork Road or from Coopers Mountain Road. They have determined that they would prefer a non –exclusive lease of the roadway versus an outright permanent easement. The lease would be a 10 year initial term with renewable periods of 5 years each. The lease cost will include the Wingfield’s performing the initial maintenance on the roadway to allow our use of the road and annual clearing and maintenance of the roadway. Final easements are being written for the other property owners who have verbally agreed to allow our use of the roadways. These easements are expected to be completed in the very near future. Minimum work will be necessary to improve the roadway for construction and maintenance vehicles.

The site lease is for a 20 foot by 30 foot parcel of land with road access across the property. Additionally, the County has negotiated rights to construct parking and turn around access for

maintenance and construction vehicles. This initial term 10 year lease is being donated by the Mitchell family at no cost to the County.

The tower structure was donated with the Board of Supervisors acceptance in December 2005.

This tower will provide much needed communications improvements to the Public Safety radio system and allow First Responders in the Snow Creek District to clearly talk with one another and Dispatch. The tower will also allow B2X, our contracted wireless internet provider for the County, to network the Snow Creek Fire & EMS buildings with the existing County computer network. Additionally, the citizens of the District will have available to them high speed internet service at very competitive rates.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. Approve the tower as being in compliance with the County’s Comprehensive Plan.
- 2. Authorize the County Administrator to finalize negotiations and enter into a lease with Audrey Mitchell, the site property owner and to finalize negotiations and enter into a lease agreement with the Wingfield family for the use of an access roadway.

TRI-COUNTY LAKE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION EXTENSION OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

The Tri-County Lake Administrative Commission (TLAC) was created in October of 2000 as a joint administrative organization under the provisions of Section 15.2-1300 of the Code of Virginia. The organizational cooperative agreement creating the commission is among the counties of Bedford, Franklin and Pittsylvania and was approved for two-year terms.

A two-year extension of the original agreement was approved in October of 2004. The current agreement will expire on October 3, 2006.

The TLAC Board of Directors requests that the three counties extend the agreement creating TLAC for another two year period. This can be accomplished by the adoption of a similar motion by all three counties.

If the Board concurs, the following motion would be in order:

Supervisor _____ made a motion to extend the duration of the cooperative agreement creating TLAC for another two year period running from October 3, 2006 through October 3, 2008 subject to similar action by the Bedford and Pittsylvania counties.

BUILDING INSPECTION VEHICLE REPLACEMENT

On March 21, 2006, the Board of Supervisors approved the purchase of two (2) replacement vehicles for Building Inspections. It was the intent to purchase these vehicles via the State Contract Program.

The vehicles being replaced are well beyond the required mileage and condition for replacement. Basic Auto Sales in Richmond, Va. has the current contract for providing vehicles through State Bid. To date they have been unable to provide two (2) compact cars (either Chevrolet Cavalier or Dodge Neon’s, as requested by Mr. Beard) which meet the mileage requirements, etc.

RECOMMENDATION:

In keeping with applicable procurement laws, it is requested that the Board grant permission to the Director of Facilities to work with a local licensed auto dealer and attempt to purchase replacement vehicles at public auto auction. Every attempt will be made to follow the Commonwealth of Virginia used vehicle specifications (see submitted).

In addition, proposals will be accepted from a minimum of three (3) local dealers to process the transaction.

BLUE RIDGE MOUNTAIN GARDEN TRACTOR PULLERS ASSOCIATION SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT APPLICATION

Keith and Becky Blankenship, Applicants, on behalf of Blue Ridge Mountain Garden Tractor Pullers Association, Catawba, Virginia, have submitted for the Board’s review and consideration an application for a special entertainment permit.

The proposed tractor pull has been scheduled for Saturday, October 7th, 2006 (Rain Date: Saturday, October 21st, 2006). Mr. and Mrs. Blankenship have submitted a completed application in accordance with County Code Section 3-77 with all required signatures with the exception of the Building Official who is expected to review upon his return to the office. It is staff’s

understanding of the applicant a fee of **\$100.00** (Per County Code Section 3-83) will be remitted upon approval from the Board.

As in years past, staff request direction from the Board in setting a bond amount per County Code Section 3-80 for this scheduled event. Recently, the Board approved a similar request for the Southwest Virginia Tractor Association with a bond set at \$500.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff requests a **\$500.00 bond** be set to cover any possible damage to the park incurred during this event. Subject to staff approval of the necessary public safety and parking control commitments by the Franklin County Sheriff's Office and the Franklin County Department of Public Safety and subject to approval from the Building Official, staff recommends the Board of Supervisors consider issuance of a entertainment permit for the Blue Ridge Mountain Garden Tractor Pullers Association and the Board set a bond as appropriate for this group to host this event on the proposed dates of ***Saturday, October 7th, (Rain Date: October 21st, 2006)*** at Franklin County Recreation Park.

(RESOLUTION #02-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the consent agenda items as presented above.

MOTION BY: David Hurt

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

VDOT – 6-YEAR SECONDARY ROAD PLAN

Mel Quesenberry, Resident Engineer, VDOT, presented the Board the following update on the 6-Year Secondary Road Plan.

1. If new funding for transportation is identified in an approved budget this fall, a supplemental budget process will likely occur within VDOT.
2. The construction budget was reduced to address maintenance related and asset management needs for the next five years.
3. The federal Surface Transportation Program (SAFETEA-LU) increased federal funding which was for specific programs and earmarks that require state match prior to formula distribution to the systems.

Surface Transportation Program (STP)

The STP provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects on any Federal-aid highway, including the NHS, bridge projects on any public road, transit capital projects, and public bus terminals and facilities. The Act expands STP eligibilities to include advanced truck stop electrification systems, high accident/high congestion intersections, and environmental restoration and pollution abatement, control of noxious weeds and aquatic noxious weeds, and establishment of native species. A total of \$32.5 billion in STP funds is authorized through 2009. Funds will continue to be distributed among the States based on lane-miles of Federal-aid highways, total vehicle-miles traveled on those Federal-aid highways, and estimated contributions to the Highway Account of the HTF.

Each State must set aside a portion of their STP funds (10 percent or the amount set aside in 2005, whichever is greater) for transportation enhancements activities. The set-aside of 10 percent previously required for safety construction activities (i.e., hazard elimination and rail-highway crossing improvements) is eliminated beginning in 2006, as these activities are funded separately under the new Highway Safety Improvement Program.

Bridge Program

The Bridge program is broadened in scope to include systematic preventative maintenance, and freed from the requirement that bridges must be considered "significantly important." A total of \$21.6 billion is authorized for this program through 2009 to enable States to improve the condition of their eligible highway bridges over waterways, other topographical barriers, other highways and railroads. The requirement that each State spend at least 15% of its bridge apportionment for bridges on public roads that are not Federal-aid highways (off-system bridges) is retained, but the 35% cap is removed. The discretionary bridge program is funded only through 2005; beginning in 2006, \$100 million is to be set aside annually to fund designated projects. Secondary

construction systems are the last to be funded and the most affected by revenue adjustments and increased costs.

- 4. The CTB adopted a policy on April 17, 2003 that all new projects that qualify for federal funding will be developed as federal projects.
- 5. Federal projects must be added to the plan to program federal funds.
- 6. The Rural Rustic Road Program is still the first option for paving unpaved roads and this option should assist in getting more improvements funded with the reduced state funding.
- 7. Projects are averaging approximately \$500,000 per mile an increase of 67% from only 2 years ago. This increase is from additional VDOT overhead and sharply higher construction material costs because of fuel increases. Bulk liquid asphalt has increased in cost from \$200 / ton last year to \$400 / ton this construction year. Average laid in-place asphalt has increased from \$45 / ton to \$70 / ton statewide. The prices follow the fuel increases.
- 8. 52% of FY07 budget has STP Federal Formula funding and this increases to 60% by FY12. (This is the first year that the funding has been required to break down into categories.)
- 9. The Project Cost Estimating System (the tool VDOT uses for project costs) is using an inflation factor ranging from 3% for projects advertised within the coming year to 20% for projects in FY2012. The percentage is applied to materials, preliminary engineering and construction costs resulting in higher project costs.
- 10. Because of the higher volumes of traffic on the collector routes, the typical section of the roadway will increase. This increase may require more right of way than the 50 feet usually needed for most projects. These projects will certainly cost much more.
- 11. Acquiring right of way may become more difficult with a majority of the funding going to collector routes. These roads are through traffic and getting property owners to donate right of way for improvements that do not directly benefit them will be difficult. The 3 most heard concerns of the property owners are the traffic will increase, the traffic will go faster and the road will be closer to their house.

Major Collector Roads Eligible for STP Federal Funding				
Route	From	To	Miles	District
605	220	40W	12.76	Blue Ridge
606	767	Henry CL	1.50	Blue Ridge
613	220	Roanoke CL	4.95	Blackwater
616	122	834	0.77	Gills Creek
619	220	890 W	11.77	Snow Creek
623	865	Patrick CL	8.22	Blue Ridge
634	122	678 W	2.02	Gills Creek
634	Bedford CL	676	2.10	Boone
635	220	116	7.28	Boone / Gills Creek
636	122	676 N	7.85	Gills Creek
640	40 W	602	7.50	Blackwater
640	40 W	WCL - Rocky Mount	0.68	Rocky Mount
641	919	602	8.99	Boone
646	718 N	890	5.64	Union Hall / Snow Creek
655	40 E	834	3.57	Union Hall
670	122	834	4.42	Gills Creek
676	636 N	634	3.80	Gills Creek
678	116	680	5.76	Gills Creek
684	116	ECL - Boones Mill	6.16	Boone
697	220	122	5.19	Boone / Gills Creek
718	40	619 N	7.51	Union Hall / Snow Creek
739	742 W	WCL - Boones Mill	8.78	Boone / Blackwater

767	805	606	6.18	Blue Ridge
775	220	919	3.59	Boone / Blackwater
775	220	697	0.43	Boone
805	40	623	0.53	Blue Ridge
834	40 E	616	10.69	Union Hall / Gills Creek
890	40 E	619 W	20.53	Snow Creek
919	220	NCL - Rocky Mount	8.69	Boone / Blackwater
			177.86	Total Miles

The Board will wait to hear from VDOT after the General Assembly convenes to schedule a worksession for the 6-Year Secondary Road Plan.

TREASURER’S MONTHLY REPORT

Ms. Lynda Messenger, Treasurer, presented her monthly Treasurer’s Report.
Ms. Messenger shared with the board the following report:
The total collections for current personal property is 97.8%
The total collections for current real estate is 98.15%
Total sales for dog tags last year at this time was \$15,746.00
Total sales for dog tags this year is \$19,595.00, making an increase in sales of \$3,849.00 for this year.
We have collected \$127,785.13 with the collection form letter we send out each year.
We have collected \$128,222.63 by issuing tax liens for delinquent taxes.
County decal sales as of 8-15-05 were 46265
County decal sales as of 8-15-06 are 47285, which is 1020 more than last year at this time.
We have processed 126 DMV holds, of this amount 26 have been paid in full.
Carolyn Furrow’s office has mailed out 10 letters for the tax sale. Out of these 10 letters 4 properties have been paid in full. The total amount of tax paid for the four is \$28,232.69.

(RESOLUTION # 03-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED by the Board to approve the Treasurer’s monthly report as submitted.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner
SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn, & Angell

ANTIOCH COMMUNITY PARK

Al Flora, Antioch Community Center, gave an update on the Antioch Community Park from previously allocated funds from the Board. Mr. Flora stated the only funds they have spent are \$4,800 to Hills Studio for design with a lot of community support. Mr. Flora stated the community has planted over 600 trees with excavating the playing and soccer fields. Different groups within the community have assisted with on going projects for the park.

SCHOOL CARRY OVER FEDERAL AND STATE REVENUES

Dr. Charles Lackey, Superintendent of Schools and Lee Cheatham, Director of Business and Finance, School Systems, shared with the Board a request to consider approving an increase in the School’s 2006-07 appropriation as follows:

Revenues:

Carryovers-

Title I Federal Grant	\$439,819.44
Distinguished Title I School Awards - Sontag, Henry, Glade Hill	
Lee M. Waid, Rocky Mount, and Snow Creek	51,000.00
Title V Federal Grant	8,592.50
Title V Federal Grant	24,254.78
Title VI - B Federal Handicapped Grant	436,258.39
Title VI - B Federal Handicapped Grant – Preschool	37,589.00
Reading First Federal Grant - Sontag	53,621.01
Title II Federal Grant	94,777.84
State Technology Grants 2005-06	440,000.00
Even Start Family Literacy Federal Preschool Grant	33,412.07

Additional-

State Regional Adult Education - Race to GED Grant	74,958.00
VA Dept. of Mine, Minerals & Energy Grant	55,408.00

State Regional Adult Education Program Grant	141,317.00
Learn & Serve America Program Federal Grant - Burnt Chimney	<u>45,000.00</u>

Total Revenues	<u><u>\$1,936,008.03</u></u>
----------------	------------------------------

Expenditures:

Instruction	<u><u>\$1,936,008.03</u></u>
-------------	------------------------------

Every fiscal year, the School system receives various grants and awards which are not completely expended by the end of the fiscal year. New grants are also routinely awarded to the School system after the completion of the budget process.

The submitted list from the School system shows a total of \$1,619,325 in carryover requests of Federal and State grants. This amount is consistent with their requests from previous years. The list also requests \$316,683 in new Federal and State grants the schools anticipate receiving this fiscal year.

Other carryover appropriations to the Schools approved at an earlier meeting include \$80,532 for the continuation of the Roanoke Valley Leadership Development Consortium Grant and \$250,000 for the potential purchase of additional acreage.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully requests the Board to approve and appropriate the submitted list of carryovers and additional appropriations for the Franklin County Public Schools. There are no local funds being requested at this time. Grant funds can only be used for the purposes outlined in the various State and Federal grant agreements.

(RESOLUTION #04-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned carry over federal and state revenues as presented.

MOTION BY: Russ Johnson

SECONDED BY: David Hurt

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

WINDY GAP SCHOOL FINANCING RESOLUTION

Lee Cheatham, Director of Business and Finance, requested the Board's endorsement of the following resolution regarding Windy Gap School Financing:

**RESOLUTION OF THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
APPROVING THE SUBMISSION OF FINANCING APPLICATIONS
TO THE VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY**

BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The Franklin County Board of Supervisors (the "Board") hereby authorizes and approves of the completion, execution and submission of (a) the Application to the Virginia Public School Authority 2006 Interest Rate Subsidy Program, and (b) the Long and Intermediate-Term Financing Application - Virginia Public School Authority School Financing Bonds (1997 Resolution) Series 2006B (collectively, the "VPSA Applications") which have been presented to the Board and have been or will be submitted to the Department of Treasury of the Commonwealth of Virginia acting on behalf of the Virginia Public School Authority (the "Authority"), with respect to the proposed issuance by Franklin County, Virginia (the "County") of its general obligation school bonds in an aggregate combined principal amount not to exceed \$12,000,000 for the purposes of financing certain capital projects for school purposes, as more fully described in the VPSA Applications (the "Project").

2. The Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Clerk of the Board, the County Administrator and the Assistant County Administrator, and all other officers of the County are hereby authorized and directed to take such further actions and to execute and deliver any and all instruments, certificates and other documents required to carry out the purposes of this Resolution, including such actions and documents as may be necessary to modify, amend or supplement the VPSA Applications or take such other action as may be requested by the Authority in connection therewith or in furtherance of the financing contemplated thereby.

3. All prior acts of the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Clerk of the Board, the County Administrator and the Assistant County Administrator, and other officers, agents or representatives of the County that are in conformity with the purposes and intent of this

Resolution and in furtherance of the submission of the VPSA Applications to the Authority and the plan of financing contemplated thereby, are hereby ratified, reaffirmed and approved.

4. LeClair Ryan, A Professional Corporation, is hereby appointed bond counsel to the County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds.

5. The County hereby expresses its preliminary intent to issue the Bonds for the benefit of the Project, and to the fullest extent applicable and necessary, in adopting this Resolution the County intends to evidence its "official intent" to reimburse Project expenditures with proceeds from the issuance of the Bonds within the meaning of Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Certificate

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Franklin County, Virginia hereby certifies that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete copy of a resolution duly adopted by a majority of the members of the Board of Supervisors of Franklin County, Virginia present and voting during the meeting duly called and held on August 15, 2006, and that such resolution has not been repealed, revoked, rescinded or amended, but is in full force and effect on the date hereof. A summary of the members present or absent at such meeting, and the recorded vote with respect to the foregoing resolution, is set forth below:

(RESOLUTION #05-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, to approve the financing requested by the Franklin County School Board to proceed with the construction of Windy Gap Elementary School to include the large gym option; membrane filtration of wastewater effluent or comparable technology to reduce nutrient discharge; and a constructed wetland and other Low Impact Development options as part of the storm water management system; at a total project cost not to exceed \$12 million.

MOTION BY: David Hurt

SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

WINDY GAP COUNTY PARK

(RESOLUTION #06-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, to authorize County staff to proceed with the construction of the County Park at the Windy Gap School site in coordination with school construction, with funding to come from the County's current fund balance in an amount not to exceed \$400,000.

MOTION BY: David Hurt

SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

WINDY GAP VPSA LOAN APPLICATIONS

Lee Cheatham, Director of Business and Finance, requested the Board's endorsement the 2006 Virginia Public School Authority Interest Rate Subsidy Program for Windy Gap School in the amount of \$12,000,000 & \$7,500,000 VPSA Loan Applications as presented.

(RESOLUTION #07-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned VPSA Bond applications as presented.

MOTION BY: David Hurt

SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

BOARD PLANNING RETREAT/FERRUM COLLEGE/THURSDAY, AUGUST 31ST, 2006 @ 9:00

A.M.

The Board offered additional topics for the agenda set for August 31st, 2006 as follows:

- Sheriff's Department Strategic Direction (1-hr)
- PDR – (1-hr)
- Winn Dixie Space Needs (1-hr)
- Lunch
- Guidelines for Glade Hill Village
- BassMasters Tournament

- Water Options with Roanoke including 220 North Corridor
- Strategies to Cover Debt over next 5 year period
- County Wide Zoning – Letter to Attorney General from the Board
- Water Options on the 220 North Corridor with Roanoke County (30 minutes)

COMPENSATION STUDY

Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator, requested the Board's consideration of an employee compensation survey. In order to assure that Franklin County recruit and retain quality employees, the County has conducted a market based study from time to time to assess the competitiveness of salaries and benefits. Following the review of the survey results, the County has attempted to adjust salary ranges to better align with market based conditions.

In recent years, staff has recognized the difficulty in recruiting some positions that are very sensitive to market conditions (i.e., network administrator). Additionally, the Board has asked staff to consider particular issues such as stipends, certifications, career ladders, advancement opportunities, skill/certification attainment, etc. Lastly, as salary ranges have increased in the past, many employees' relative position on the range has been pushed towards the bottom causing an issue called compression. All three of these issues could be addressed by a market based compensation study.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. An outside, independent firm be procured to conduct a market based compensation study during FY07 for possible implementation in FY08.
2. Funding in the amount not to exceed \$25,000 be appropriated from funding budgeted but saved as a result of favorable Health Insurance bids in the FY07 budget (\$72,840 available).
3. Regular updates will be provided during the study in order for the Board to have the opportunity to provide policy guidance as needed.

(RESOLUTION #08-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned resolution as presented.

MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter

SECONDED BY: David Hurt

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

ROBERT KING SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT APPLICATION

(RESOLUTION #09-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned Special Entertainment Application for September 1st & 2nd, 2006 as presented with a \$100.00 fee and a \$10,000 property bond.

MOTION BY: Leland Mitchell

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

CONSIDERATION OF PROCESS TO FILL VACANCY CREATED BY RETIRING COMMISSIONER OR REVENUE

Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator, shared with the Board the state statute on special elections for a retiring Constitutional Officer prior to the expiration of the elected term. Mr. Huff and Mr. Jefferson advised the Board after consulting with the Circuit Court Judge and State Board of Elections a special election shall be held. Mr. Jefferson advised the Board the special election for the retiring Commissioner of Revenue position may be held during the general election of November 7th, 2006 ballot with a filing deadline date of August 25th, 2006. Mr. Huff stated the general cost for a special election could cost approximately \$23,000 to \$25,000. General discussion ensued.

(RESOLUTION #10-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to petition the Circuit Court to hold a special election for the retiring Commissioner of Revenue position during the regular election scheduled for Tuesday, November 7th, 2006 election.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner

SECONDED BY: Hubert Quinn

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Poindexter, Wagner, Quinn

NAYS: Hurt, Johnson & Angell

THE MOTION PASSED WITH A 4-3 VOTE.

FRANKLIN COUNTY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE UPDATE

Ms. Janie Hopkins, Executive Director, Franklin County Chamber of Commerce, shared with the Board a brief update on the Franklin County Chamber as follows:

Facts about Chamber:

List top 5 listings on web site

Franklin News Post	660
Eagle Cinema 5	552
84 Lumber	359
Ameristaff	330
County of Franklin	314

Services to business community Jan-July

- Business Expo
- 6 Spotlights on Business
- 4 Grand Openings
- 7 Appearances on Rise & Shine
- Active member of Human Resource Association
- Hosted 3 seminars

Attended Input Session for Va. Enterprise Zone
Represents County on West Piedmont Business Development Board
Leadership Program to begin early September

Tourism efforts:

One of two groups sponsoring the Youth Music Festival – April Chamber will be the lead organization for this event beginning 2007
Attended Crooked Road Conference – June
Working on Blue Ridge Autumn Days to be held Oct.27 on Farmer’s Market with Franklin County Parks & Rec and Community Partnership for Revitalization

Educational Efforts:

- Spotlight on Education – March
- Career Day at FCHS – May
- Graduating with Confidence (Adult Education Graduates)-May
- Welcome Tour & Luncheon for 65 new Ferrum Students- August
- Teacher Appreciation Luncheon - August

SMITH MOUNTAIN LAKE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE UPDATE

Vickie Gardner, Executive Director, Smith Mountain lake Chamber of Commerce, shared with the Board a general overview of the SML Chamber. Information included updates on renovation, statistics, event status, education and a preview of what's ahead.

Membership:

Continues to grow with the community. Current membership 715; up from 187 in 2002 - 382% increase in four years.

Communication –

- Weekly e-mail to chamber members
- Highly expanded website – more than 350 pages – Secure web sales
- Connections Newsletter – bi-monthly – filled with timely and pertinent information

Smith Mountain Lake Visitor Center: Visitors down a bit from previous years.

We track visitors to the center; calls; referrals from ad placement
Took down the rooftop sign replaced with one on the building.
Lower numbers possibly attributed to: low visibility; lack of parking; gas prices
Renovation is complete adding three offices plus a conference room. The center also has three additional desks with computers and printers for additional workloads.
Encourage Supervisors to stop in and visit our center.

Education: Offering courses through VA Western

Purpose is to provide ease and opportunity for business people in the area to expand their computer/business operations knowledge. Offering Quickbooks and Excel.
Upcoming classes will be in Publisher – desktop publishing.

Thereby improving business success ratios in our community.

Events: Since the last time I spoke to the Board of Supervisors, the chamber has expanded the number of events throughout the year.

- Early fishing tournament – March
- Business Expo – April
- Corporate Cup; Take Pride in SML; SML Poker Run – May
- Boomerang Back to the Beach – June
- Four Seasons of SML – Aug. – Providing a library of photos to writers/publications across the region, state and nation. April 06 SML Chamber provided photography for article in USA Today – ½ page photo of SML was used.
- 18th Annual Wine Festival – Sept. Anticipating 7,000
- Basstober/Bass Fishing – Oct.
- 4th Annual Fall Chili Fest. – Nov. 4

The purpose of conducting these events is to close the seasonal gap and promote our region as a year round destination.

- SML Chamber also promotes other area events: Pigg River Ramble; Archery Tournament; Take Pride in SML, Antique Boat Show, Triathlon, Blue Ridge Folk Festival etc.

SMLCC is very active in VAWEST – a legislative coalition comprised of 16 Chambers in South/Central VA. VAWEST supports issues which affect our communities. We meet monthly, are well represented at Chamber Day at the Capitol in January and will be traveling to Washington in September to visit with state and national representatives.

We continue to work with our area associations and organizations to build a better community. Our chamber works with the Smith Mountain Lake Association, TLAC and the Boating Association on many programs. Our chamber organizations and the Departments of Tourism in Franklin and Bedford counties have open lines of communication and also work on a variety of projects for the benefit of our communities.

One such example is our combined effort on BASSTOBER with three major bass fishing tournaments, a children's fishing/education program and family festival held over three weeks in Oct. and Nov. The SML and Franklin County Chambers along with Franklin and Bedford Dept of Tourism are partnering on this huge endeavor which is certain to reap \$4 million into our economy.

As for the future, We are setting our plans and goals for 2007 and beyond to keep on the leading edge of progress in our community.

In closing, and speaking for the Board and SMLCC I would like to express our appreciation for their help and support.

PUBLIC SAFETY CIP POLICY PROPOSAL

Daryl Hatcher, Director of Public Safety shared with the Board the current strategic plan submitted by former Director, Chris Slemp, was recommended that the county provide 100% funding for fire and EMS vehicles using CIP funds. 100% funding for new vehicle replacement is required to insure that emergency vehicles are reliable and available. Low ISO rates are directly related to the quality and condition of fire service fleets. Recent years CIP funding was diverted to complete the radio system upgrade and vehicle replacement was put on hold until the radio project was completed. The radio system upgrade is nearing completion therefore attention needs to return to a vehicle replacement plan. In previous fiscal years the CIP budget amount allocated for fire vehicles was \$155,000, as well as \$80,000 for ambulances, and \$85,000 for crash trucks. In a FY 05-06 funding justification report prepared by Slemp, he noted that the cost for fire apparatus equipped to the basic standards had increased dramatically. During the purchase process for the tanker vehicle for Henry Fire Department, it was brought to staff's attention that an updated procurement policy had never been approved by the Board and implemented for CIP vehicle purchases and allocation. It is the intent of this summary to address this problem and gain agreement to increase the County support for vehicle replacement so that our volunteers will not have to raise large sums of money in order to replace their vehicles thereby allowing the use of that time and energy for training and responses.

In researching the purchasing history of county Fire and EMS vehicles, staff found that purchases were made on a rotational basis from 1991 to 2004. Need as well as the order of funding was determined by the fire chiefs, with one agency receiving funding each year. As noted in previous

reports, some agencies respond to more calls for service than others. Utilizing a blanket funding formula for all agencies allows for outdated and worn out equipment to be utilized by those responding to the majority of calls. In FY 05-06, Director Slemp compiled a 20 year vehicle replacement plan, however, no replacement plan was formally submitted to the Board of Supervisors, therefore no CIP funding increase was allocated. In a budget justification report for FY 05-06, Director Slemp noted vehicle replacement funding as follows: \$225,000 for engines/pumper tanker, \$170,000 for a conventional style tanker, and \$75,000 for brush trucks. Ambulances were not addressed in this report as none were scheduled for replacement in FY 05-06. These figures, though increased, do not reflect the actual production cost of fire apparatus in 2006 for basic vehicles that comply with NFPA standards.

In preparing this report, Slagle's Fire Equipment and KME Manufacturing were contacted to obtain basic quotes for three type of fire fighting vehicles using 2006 figures. **(See Attachment A)** Both manufacturers stated that the market standard for estimating cost should include a yearly inflation rate of 8 – 10%. This is primarily due to rising steel costs. The Environmental Protection Agency has mandated that in 2010 all heavy vehicle diesel engines be tested for vehicle emission compliance. This alone will increase the purchase price of fire apparatus by 20%.

Attempting to predict the cost of fire and EMS apparatus using a 20 year plan is impossible due to inflation in labor and materials as well as changes in vehicle specifications. If a shorter vehicle replacement schedule is implemented, i.e. 3 – 5 years, the parameters of the funding formula are narrowed so an estimate for funding may be possible to project. This will allow formulation of a CIP budget that will reflect the current market purchase amount.

Staff would recommend that the vehicles identified for replacement in the FY 06-07 CIP budget remain unchanged. Funding for these vehicles should be increased to that identified in attachment E.

During FY 06-07 the chiefs and captains of the county fire and EMS agencies **will meet** to agree on specifications for the following vehicles that will be scheduled for exchange within the following 5 years **(see attachment B)**. The vehicles listed are the basic complement that all county fire departments should maintain for operations. Fire Departments should determine specifications for, Engine/Pumper Tankers, Tanker/Tender vehicles, and Brush Trucks. EMS agency Captains would meet to determine the specifications for ambulances identified for replacement. Using these specifications and after determining need, the vehicles requested should be approved for inclusion in the vehicle exchange plan. Next, bids from vendors are solicited for vehicles identified in the replacement plan. In the bid package the county will accept delivery of the vehicles in stages over the course of the 5 year bid contract. This plan is utilized by various jurisdictions that purchase multiple vehicles in succession. The manufacturer normally includes a provision to adjust the cost for inflation and the county will require an escape clause in the event the price is adjusted in excess of predetermined parameters. Additions or revisions to the base vehicle in excess of NFPA guidelines will be funded by the receiving department. Standardization of vehicles insures that all county agencies are equipped with similar equipment. This will allow for mutual aid responses that are less problematic due to equipment compatibility issues. Mutual aid responses are required to comply with OSHA standards that specify how fire suppression can be carried out safely.

Ambulances are bid on state contract to specifications determined by the U. S. Department of Transportation (U.S.DOT) and are referred to as KKK standards. This contract is administered by the Virginia Department of General Services and covers several types of transport vehicles. This contract is prepared annually by the Department of General Services and awarded according to its guidelines. Additions to the contract are allowed which, of course, increases vehicle costs. State bid prices have historically been accurate in determining the cost of vehicle replacement. The current state bid for ambulances is attached. **(Attachment C)** Any additions to the base vehicle in excess of U. S. DOT KKK standards will be the funded by the receiving department. Quick Response Vehicles (QRV's) will be designated as specialty vehicles. Ambulances slated for exchange should be included in the CIP budget as well. Funds for replacing ambulances can be obtained using billing revenue as it increases. Grant funding is also available from the Virginia Office of Emergency Medical Services using Rescue Squad Assistance Funds, although not guaranteed at this point.

Specialty vehicles such as Air Trucks, Ladder Trucks, Crash/Rescue Trucks, QRV's, and utility vehicles will be assigned to various county agencies depending on need, location, and availability

of manpower. Specialty vehicles will be staged in various EMS and Fire stations to respond to emergency situations throughout the county. These vehicles will be the responsibility of Public Safety to purchase and maintain and will be replaced on an as needed basis. This will encourage the sharing of resources, prevent duplication of services, and will insure that the vehicles purchased are being utilized effectively. Grants for EMS related vehicles are sometimes available from Rescue Squad Assistance Funds as well.

An overview of the proposal is attached. **(Attachment D)**. The immediate fiscal impact of this proposal is shown on Attachment E. Since no vehicles were purchased in FY 05-06, last year's allocation has been added to FY 07's allocation for a total of \$908,309 along with other available and budgeted revenues. Planned expenditures, per the plan, will exceed available resources; however, the Rocky Mount Truck may not be ready for procurement until FY 07-08. Grant funding is being pursued, but cannot be guaranteed at this time.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully recommends an increase in the policy as it relates to CIP funding for fire and EMS vehicles per **Attachment A**. Staff also recommends that the Department of Public Safety pursue future funding allocations utilizing a 5 year bid project with the vendors.

ATTACHMENT A:

Slagle's and KME Manufacturing average vehicle costs.

Vehicle Type	Year	Cost	Inflation	Total Cost	Notes
			(Cost + 10%)		
Engine	2007	\$325,000.00	\$32,500.00	\$357,500.00	
Tanker	2007	\$250,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$275,000.00	
Brush	2007	\$60,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$66,000.00	
			(Cost + 10%)		
Engine	2008	\$357,500.00	\$35,750.00	\$393,250.00	
Tanker	2008	\$275,000.00	\$27,500.00	\$302,500.00	
Brush	2008	\$66,000.00	\$6,600.00	\$72,600.00	
			(Cost + 10%)		
Engine	2009	\$393,250.00	\$39,325.00	\$432,575.00	
Tanker	2009	\$302,500.00	\$30,250.00	\$332,750.00	
Brush	2009	\$72,600.00	\$7,260.00	\$79,860.00	
			(cost + 20%)		
Engine*	2010	\$432,575.00	\$86,515.00	\$519,090.00	*Note 20% increase due to mandatory emission standards testing. (Required by EPA for diesel engines in 2010)
Tanker*	2010	\$332,750.00	\$66,550.00	\$399,300.00	
Brush*	2010	\$79,860.00	\$15,972.00	\$95,832.00	
			(Cost + 10%)		
Engine	2011	\$519,090.00	\$51,909.00	\$570,999.00	
Tanker	2011	\$399,300.00	\$39,930.00	\$439,230.00	
Brush	2011	\$95,832.00	\$9,583.20	\$105,415.20	

ATTACHMENT B:

Attachment B

FY 07-08			FY 08-09			FY 09-10		
Company 7	Tanker	\$250,000	Company 8	Engine	\$393,250	Company 5	Tanker	\$302,500
Company 9	Brush	\$66,000	Company 10	Tanker	\$275,000	Company 4	Brush	\$79,860
Squad 8	Response	\$32,000	Squad 6	Ambulance	\$110,000	Squad 5	Response	\$35,000
Squad 3	Crash Truck	\$150,000				Squad 8	Ambulance	\$110,000
Squad 6	Ambulance	\$110,000				Squad 4	Ambulance	\$110,000
TOTAL		\$608,000	TOTAL		\$778,250	TOTAL		\$637,360
FY 10-11			FY 11-12					
Company 6	Tanker	\$332,750	Company 3	Tanker	\$439,250			
Company 7	Engine	\$519,090	Company 7	Crash Truck	\$160,000			

TOTAL		\$851,840	TOTAL		\$599,250			
-------	--	-----------	-------	--	-----------	--	--	--

Lifespan

Engines	20 years
Brush	15 years
Tanker	20 years
Ambulances	10 years
Response	10 years
Crash Truck	15 years
Staff	6 years

ATTACHMENT C:

State Ambulance Contract



The Virginia Office of EMS and the Transportation Committee of the State EMS Advisory Board are pleased to announce the Virginia Department of General Services, Division of Purchases and Supply has awarded a contract (# 7000-60) for Type I ambulances to Singer Associates headquartered in Gainesville, VA, and Type II and III ambulances to Performance Specialty Vehicles headquartered in Fredericksburg, VA. The contract period for the new state ambulance contract is good through September 15, 2006. There are provisions that allow up to three, successive one-year extensions to the contract upon agreement by both parties.

Singer Associates Fire Equipment

State Ambulance Contract Manager for Type I, Ambulance Chassis A and B:

Thrine Harpring, Contract Manager
Singer Associates Fire Equipment
7394 Gallerher Road
Gainesville, VA 20155
Email – thrine@singerassociates.com
1-800-422-9700, 703-754-9911
Cell Phone: 703-906-4230
Fax: 703-753-5761

Performance Specialty Vehicles

State Ambulance Contract Manager for Type II, Ambulance Chassis C and Type III, Ambulance Chassis D:

Jeff Hawkins, Contract Manager
Performance Specialty Vehicles
1170 International Parkway
Fredericksburg, VA 22406
Email – jhawkins@psvusa.com
540-752-7721, ext. 131
Fax: 540-752-5194

Who may use the State Ambulance Contract?

The contract is mandatory for all state agencies. Any locality or non-profit EMS agency in Virginia may utilize the State Ambulance Contract.

Benefits to using the State Ambulance Contract

- The contract was awarded based on a competitive bid process.
- Rescue Squad Assistance Fund (RSAF) grant awards are based on the contract pricing.
- The contract guarantees pricing on commonly requested "must price" options.
- The contract specifications for design are in compliance with state regulations and requirements.
- Delivery schedules, warranty protection, service and product liability coverage are defined by the contract.

Sellers of fire fighting equipment and ambulances are no longer exempt from Virginia Motor Vehicle Dealer licensing requirements. [Click here](#) for more information.

Type I Ambulance

Singer Associates Fire Equipment

Awarded Contract for Type I, Ambulance Chassis A and B



[Singer Associates](#), in conjunction with [Medtec Ambulance](#), has been awarded the state contract for all Type I (Chassis A) and Type I (AD/MD) (Chassis B) vehicles.



Medtec Ambulance Corporation

[Medtec Ambulance Corporation](#) is located in Goshen, IN and has been providing ambulance and rescue vehicles since 1974. Medtec will customize an apparatus to meet your department needs. Medtec Ambulance Corporation and Pierce Manufacturing are subsidiaries of Oshkosh Truck Corporation (NYSE: OSK). These companies are the industry leaders in North American manufacturing of custom emergency vehicles.

Base Price:

Type I Chassis A	
Medtec FD-144 Ford F-450 XLT, Super Duty Chassis, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">165" Wheel Base16,000 lbs. GVWR144" Modular Box 6.0 L Power Stroke Diesel	\$86,671.00
Medtec FD-144 GMC/Chevrolet 4500, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">152" Wheel Base17,500 lbs. GVWR144" Modular Box 6.6 L Duramax Diesel	\$93,049.00
Type I Additional Duty/Medium Duty (AD/MD) Chassis B	
Medtec AD-170 Ford F-550 XLT, Super Duty Chassis, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">165" Wheel Base19,000 lbs. GVWR170" Modular Box 6.0 L Power Stroke Diesel	\$106,759.00
Medtec AD-170 GMC/Chevrolet C4500, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">176" Wheel Base17,500 lbs. GVWR170" Modular Box 6.6 L Duramax Diesel	\$108,826.00
Medtec AD-170 Freightliner M2, Dual Rear Wheel	\$121,969.00

<ul style="list-style-type: none">168" Wheel Base20,000 lbs. GVWR170" Modular Box CAT C7 Diesel	
Medtec AD-170 International 4300, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">169" Wheel Base20,000 lbs. GVWR170" Modular BoxDT 466 Diesel	\$128,681.00
Note: Price escalation/de-escalations may only be made in accordance with the terms of the state contract and the Producers Price Index.	



The state contract options are endless and you can customize a unit to fit the needs of your department's individual requirements. Please check out how you can purchase a state contract ambulance by going to: [Virginia State Ambulance Contract Information - Singer Website](#). This link will give you the basic instructions on how to receive information and purchase a state contract vehicle.

Type II and Type III Ambulance

Performance Specialty Vehicles

Awarded Contract for Type II, Ambulance Chassis C and Type III, Ambulance Chassis D

[Performance Specialty Vehicles](#), in conjunction with [Medix Specialty Vehicles, Inc.](#), has been awarded the state contract for all Type II (Chassis C) and Type III (Chassis D) vehicles.

Medix Specialty Vehicles



[Medix Specialty Vehicles](#) is a full line ambulance manufacturer located in Elkhart, Indiana. Founded in January of 2001, *Medix* is located on a complex with over 50,000 square feet of manufacturing facility with fiberglass and paint shops located adjacent to their primary facility.

All *Medix* ambulances are built to current KKK standards. Medix is Ford QVM certified and an approved Daimler Chrysler Body upfitter for the Sprinter chassis.

Base Price:

Type II Chassis C	
Medix Silverhawk XL, Ford E-350 Super Cargo Van, Single Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">138" Wheel Base9,400 lbs. GVWR 6.0 L Turbo Diesel	\$56,395.00
Type III Chassis D	
Medix Chevrolet CC4500, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">158" Wheel Base17,500 lbs. GVWR166" Modular Box 6.6 L Duramax Diesel	\$90,470.00

Medix Metro Express, Ford E-450 Superduty Cut-a-Way, Dual Rear Wheel <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 158" Wheel Base• 14, 050 lbs. GVWR• 166" Modular Box 6.0 L Turbo Diesel	\$84,470.00
Note: Price escalation/de-escalations may only be made in accordance with the terms of the state contract and the Producers Price Index.	

Technical Information about the State Ambulance Contract

For information about pricing, general and special terms and conditions, warranties and service centers, please visit the Department of General Services, Division of Purchases and Supply at:

<http://dps.dgs.virginia.gov/DPS/VehicleContracts/ContractFiles/7000-60.pdf>

***ATTACHMENT D:
Fire/EMS vehicle purchase proposal (overview)***

1991 - 20004

- Vehicles purchased by rotation
- Chiefs decided order in which funds were allocated
- No multiple purchases for duration of cycle
- Fixed dollar amount, not allowing for inflation

2004 – Present

- 20 Year plan
- \$155,000 allotted per truck (engine)
- Purchase amount does not meet NFPA
- Impossible to budget
- Public Safety determined need with input from vehicle committee
- Allowed for adjusted dollar amounts depending on equipment
- Specs determined by receiving agency
- 100% funding to NFPA standards needed

Proposed System

- 5 Year plan
- Basic Compliment for each station
 - Engine
 - Tanker
 - Brush Truck
- All chiefs will develop specs and determine need
- Public Safety will have input for number of vehicles and amount spent
- Bid package to be developed in each term’s 4th year
- Bids to be submitted and received
- Yearly allocation to be determined by bid amount
- Purchases in excess of basic specs will be responsibility of receiving station

Specialty Vehicles (Air, Ladder, etc.)

- Purchased on “as needed” basis
- Location determined by need; (example) Ladder at Scruggs; Air to Burnt Chimney
- Train host company to man specialty vehicle
- Will be dispatched anywhere needed in the county

EMS Vehicles

- State contract used as baseline to determine CIP budget
- Purchases in excess of state contract will be responsibility of receiving station

- RSAF funds are available for 80/20, and 50/50 state/local match, respectively
- CIP funds derived from billing revenues from volunteer responses

ATTACHMENT E:

Franklin County
Schedule of Public Safety Vehicle Replacement

Current CIP Budget 06-07	908,309
Rollover of Billing Revenues in Public Safety Budget	31,063
Anticipated Fire Programs Grant	97,273
Anticipated Two For Life Grant	42,407
Projected Volunteer EMS Billing Revenue (06-07)	68,750
	<hr/>
	1,147,802

Planned Expenditures 06-07:

Mini Pumper – Snow Creek (06-07)	175,000
Tanker - Henry (05-06)	219,000
Engine - Rocky Mount (05-06)*	325,000
Engine - Callaway (06-07)	325,000
Fork Mountain Ambulance	100,000
Boones Mill Ambulance	100,000
Fire Marshall Replacement Vehicle	30,000
	<hr/>
	1,274,000
Difference	<hr/> <hr/> (126,198)

*Rocky Mount Truck probably won't be ready to procure until FY07-08

Excess Staff Billing Revenue from FY 05-06 183,605
General discussion ensued.

(RESOLUTION #11-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned CIP Policy Proposal as presented in staff's recommendation.

MOTION BY: David Hurt
SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

HENRY FIRE DEPARTMENT TANKER PURCHASE

Daryl Hatcher, Director of Public Safety, shared with the Board in FY 05-06 the Board of Supervisors allocated \$155,000 in CIP funding for the purchase of a tanker (tender) fire apparatus for the Henry Volunteer Fire Department. On 6/20/2006 the Board of Supervisors authorized Public Safety to advertise for bids for the vehicle. On 7/20/2006, the bid process was completed. After opening the bids, the amount of CIP funds allocated fell short of the basic vehicle cost.

Specifications for the vehicle were finalized by the Henry Fire Dept. Chief and Public Safety staff. The vehicle specifications were in compliance with NFPA standards. The bid process was completed on 7/20/2006 and there were 3 bids received from 4 companies that requested bid packets. Of the bids received, Slagle Fire and Equipment submitted the lowest bid for the base vehicle, that being \$219,000. (see attached bid sheet) Henry Fire Department requested \$12,000 in additional features in excess of the NFPA standards that they will fund. With these added features the total cost for the vehicle is \$231,000. The vehicle that was bid by Slagle is a 2006 year demonstrator model that meets the criteria set forth in the bid package. Although a demonstrator model, this vehicle will also carry the same warranty as a new model vehicle.

In anticipation that the allocation would fall short of the purchase price, Public Safety was able to save \$30,000 in the FY 05-06 CIP budget and carried those funds over to the FY 06-07 CIP budget. This amount is the result to eliminating the purchase of a staff vehicle as well as savings generated from purchasing sedans vs. sport utility vehicles for administrative staff. Staff recommends that this money be applied to the tanker purchase. An additional \$22,000 can be applied to the purchase using FY 06-07 funds that were designated for the purchase of a staff vehicle for a Deputy Director’s vehicle allocation. The remaining balance, \$14,000 can be used from the CIP funds allocated for an ambulance for Squad 8. The CIP funds originally designated for the Squad 8 ambulance can be replaced using billing revenues designated for CIP projects from volunteer responses.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors accept the proposed bid.

(RESOLUTION #12-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned staff’s recommendation to Slagel Fire & Equipment in the amount of \$219,000 as presented.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner
SECONDED BY: Leland Mitchell
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

PLANNING UPDATE

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning and Community Development, shared with the Board revisions on the Penhook Cove development to the revised Penhook Village ..

Penhook Cove and Penhook Village

- The developer’s requested, and the Board granted, a continuance of the Penhook Cove petition until the October 24, 2006 BOS meeting.
- The developer’s have submitted a new petition called Penhook Village which will be on the agenda for the Planning Commission on their September 12, 2006 meeting, and on the agenda for the BOS at their September 26, 2006 meeting.
- Differences between the two proposals are outlined as follows:

<i>PLAN ELEMENT</i>	<i>PENHOOK COVE</i>	<i>PENHOOK VILLAGE</i>
12 unit condo buildings	18 bldgs., 216 units	14 bldgs., 168 units
6 unit condo buildings	13 bldgs., 78 units	13 bldgs., 78 units
4 unit one level patio homes	16 bldgs., 64 units	5 bldgs., 20 units
4 unit two level patio homes	6 bldgs., 24 units	6 bldgs., 24 units
8 unit small condo building	1 bldg., 8 units	1 bldg., 8 units
Carriage/cottage home	500 units	406 units
Estate homes	7 units	14 units
Manor homes	103 units	98 units
Total units	1,000	816
Total acreage	544	480
Density	1.84 units/acre	1.7 units/acre
Open space	386 acres, 71%	328 acres, 68%
Developed open space	161 acres, 30%	152 acres, 32%
Boat storage	4 bldgs. 320 boats	None

UPDATE ON THE PANNING COMMISSION COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning and Community Development advised the Board 8 community draft Comprehensive Plan review meetings have been held. The Planning Commission will hold their next meeting on August 31st, 2006, to continue work on the draft land use plan . All revisions to the draft will soon be completed by the Planning Commission whereby a public hearing on the draft Comp Plan will be scheduled for the Planning Commission and then the Board of Supervisors.

EROSION & SEDIMENT AND SUBDIVISION AGENT APPOINTMENT

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning and Community Development, advised the Board the Deputy Director of Planning and Community Development has resigned and is currently the Erosion and Sediment and Subdivision Agent for the County.

(RESOLUTION #13-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to appoint Frank Fiori (as interim) to serve as the Erosion and Sediment and Subdivision Agent for the County.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner
SECONDED BY: Leland Mitchell

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

APPOINTMENTS:

- ***Dan River ASAP – Citizen (3-Yr. Term)***

(RESOLUTION #14-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to re-appoint Tom Webster to serve on the Dan River ASAP Board with said term to expire June 30th, 2009.

MOTION BY: David Hurt

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

- ***Transportation Safety Commission (4-Yr. Term)***

Blackwater, Gills Creek, Boone, Blue Ridge, Union Hall & Rocky Mount Districts

(RESOLUTION #15-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to appoint Fred Jamison to serve on the Transportation Safety Commission as the Blackwater District representative with said term to expire August 18th, 2010.

MOTION BY: Leland Mitchell

SECONDED BY: Hubert Quinn

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

- ***Library Board – Blackwater District (3-Yr. Term)***

(RESOLUTION #16-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to appoint Karen W. Dillon to serve as the Blackwater District Representative on the Library Board with said term to expire June 30th, 2009.

MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter

SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

David Hurt, Boone District Supervisor

- Viticulture Study/Deferred until a later date.

Charles Poindexter, Union Hall Supervisor, advised the Board VACO Steering Committees will be meeting at the Hotel Roanoke on September 8 & 9, 2006.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE SETTING STORAGE FEES FOR PROPERTY

B. J. Jefferson, County Attorney, requested Board authorization to authorize staff to advertise for public hearing a proposed ordinance setting storage fees for seized property.

(RESOLUTION #17-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to authorize staff to advertise for public hearing for the proposed ordinance for setting storage fees on seized property.

MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

CLOSED MEETING

(RESOLUTION #18-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to into a closed meeting in accordance with 2.2-3711, a-1, Personnel, and a-3, Acquisition of Land, a-5, Discussion of a prospective new business or industry, and a-7, Consult with Legal Counsel of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner

SECONDED BY: Hubert Quinn

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

MOTION: Charles Wagner

RESOLUTION: #19-08-2006

SECOND: Hubert Quinn

MEETING DATE August 15th, 2006

WHEREAS, the Franklin County Board of Supervisors has convened an closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act: and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712(d) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by this Franklin County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Franklin County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Franklin County Board of Supervisors.

VOTE:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn, & Angell

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT DURING VOTE: NONE

ABSENT DURING MEETING: NONE

Chairman Wayne Angell recessed the meeting for the previously advertised public hearing as follows:

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Franklin County Board of Supervisors will hold a public hearing at approximately 5:00 P.M., on ***Tuesday, August 15th, 2006***, in the Meeting Room located in the Courthouse, Rocky Mount, Virginia to consider a proposed amendment to Division 8. Meals Tax Section 20-287 to read:

No locality shall levy any tax under this section upon (i) that portion of the amount paid by purchaser as a discretionary gratuity in addition to the sales price; (ii) that portion of the amount paid by the purchaser as a mandatory gratuity or service charge added by the restaurant in addition to the sales price, but only to the extent that such mandatory gratuity or service charge does not exceed 20% of the sales price. (Per Code 58.1-3833 Section E.)

No one spoke for or against the proposed public hearing.

(RESOLUTION #20-08-2006)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to adopt the aforementioned amendment to Division 8. Meals Tax Section 20-287 as advertised.

MOTION BY: Russ Johnson

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

Chairman Angell adjourned the meeting.

W. WAYNE ANGELL
CHAIRMAN

RICHARD E. HUFF, II
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR